## 174. Synthesis and Configuration of Some Hydroxymilbemycin Derivatives Including 22,23-Dihydroavermectin B<sub>1b</sub> Aglycone

by Bruno Frei, Philip Huxley, Peter Maienfisch, Hari Babu Mereyala<sup>1</sup>)<sup>2</sup>), Günther Rist<sup>3</sup>), and Anthony C. O'Sullivan\*

Ciba-Geigy Ltd., Agricultural Division, CH-4002 Basel

Dedicated to Prof. Oskar Jeger

(17.IV.90)

The synthesis of several configurationally defined hydroxymilbemycin derivatives is described. One of these allylic alcohols is the known 5-*O*-[(*tert*-butyl)dimethylsilyl]-13 $\alpha$ -hydroxymilbemycin D (= 5-*O*-[(*tert*-butyl)dimethylsilyl]-22,23-dihydroavermectin B<sub>1b</sub> aglycone; **15D**), the synthesis of which represents a conversion of the milbemycin to the avermectin series of natural products. The configurations at C(13), C(14), and C(15) of the new milbemycin derivatives were determined by NMR experiments and force-field calculations.

**1. Introduction.** – The milbemycins, *e.g.*  $1A^4$ ) and  $1D^4$ ) (see *Table 1*), are a family of 16-membered ring macrolides isolated from the *Streptomyces hygroscopicus* subspecies *aureolacrimosus* [1]. These compounds, isolated by *Sankyo* chemists in 1973, possess high anthelmintic, acaricidal, and insecticidal activity [2]. The avermeetins **2** and **3**, compounds with similar structures and biological activity as the milbemycins, are produced by a culture of *Streptomyces avermitilis* [3]. A mixture of 22,23-dihydroavermeetin B<sub>1a</sub> and B<sub>1b</sub> is sold as an antiparasitic agent under the generic name *Ivermectin* (4) [4]. The biological activity of this family of compounds is believed to be caused by interference with the nervous system of the parasite [5]. The interesting structure of this class has generated an enormous effort towards partial and total syntheses of the milbemycins and avermeetins [6].

Avermectin  $B_{1b}(3)$  has been converted to milbemycin D(1D) by hydrogenation of the 22,23-double bond (*cf.* 4), hydrolysis of the dioleandrose unit (*cf.* 5), and deoxygenation of the aglycone [7]. In this paper, a functionalization of the milbemycin molecule (1A and 1D) at position 13 is reported, whereby several allylic-alcohol isomers were synthesized including 13 $\beta$ -hydroxymilbemycin D (6D) and its known 5-*O*-protected C(13)-epimer 15D (5-*O*-[(*tert*-butyl)dimethylsilyl]-13 $\alpha$ -hydroxymilbemycin D<sup>5</sup>).

Postdoctoral fellow, Laboratorium f
ür Organische Chemie, ETH-Zentrum, CH-8092 Z
ürich, Switzerland (1981–1985).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>) Present address: National Chemical Laboratory, Pune-411008, India.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>) Physics Department.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>) The terms **A** and **D** are used to describe structures in the milberrycin  $A_4$  and **D** series, respectively. Numbering of the milberrycin molecule is according to [1]. The  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  nomenclature is defined with respect to structures of type I (*Table 1*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>) A direct microbiological hydroxylation of the milbemycin nucleus at C(13) has been reported recently [8a], and a direct hydroxylation at C(13) using SeO<sub>2</sub> has also been published [8b].



2. Results and Discussion. – Selective epoxidation of one of the four double bonds of the milbemycins 1A and 1D has been reported [9]. Thus, epoxidation with 3-chloroperbenzoic acid occurs at C(14)=C(15) stereoselectively on the  $\alpha$ -face of the milbemycin skeleton furnishing 8A and 8D, respectively. The  $\beta$ -face is substantially sterically shielded at this part of the molecule, as shown by examination of the crystal structure of avermectin B<sub>1a</sub> aglycone [10]. After protection of the 5-OH group as its (*tert*-butyl)dimethylsilyl ether, the epoxides 9A and 9D were obtained in 67 and 57% yields, respectively (*Scheme 1*).

Upon treatment with a suitable acidic reagent, epoxide 9 opens to the more stabilized tertiary cation, and elimination in the ring produces the desired allylic alcohol 10 [11]. The reagent used initially was  $Et_2AlN_3$  in THF [12]<sup>6</sup>), in a reaction reminiscent of the  $Et_2AlNR_2$  method of *Yamamoto* and *Nozaki* [13]. Using this reagent, 9D was converted to allylic alcohol 10D in 50–60% yield. However, other *Lewis* and *Bronsted* acids were also found to be useful for this rearrangement (see *Table 2*), the best being 9-borabicy-clo[3.3.1]non-9-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate (9-BBN triflate) in the presence of excess 2,6-dimethylpyridine (2,6-lutidine) [14] which afforded 10A in 92% yield. The (13*E*)-compound is not necessarily a kinetically formed thermodynamically unfavourable isomer. The C(12)–C(13)–C(14)–C(15) torsion angle of 118° observed in the crystal structure of avermectin aglycone [10] is closer to (*E*)- than to (*Z*)-configuration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>) In toluene as solvent, the azidoalcohol was formed [12a].





*i*) Et<sub>3</sub>Al, HN<sub>3</sub>, THF, 60°. *j*) PDC, DMF, r.t.

Table 2. Rearrangement of the Epoxides 9A and 9D to the Allylic Alcohols 10A and 10D, Respectively

Epoxide	Reagent <sup>a</sup> )	Solvent	Temp.	Product (Yield)
9D	HN <sub>3</sub> /Et <sub>3</sub> Al 1:0.6	THF	reflux	10D (50–60%)
9A	HN <sub>3</sub> /Et <sub>3</sub> Al 1:0.6	THF	reflux	<b>10A</b> (50–60%)
	9-BBN triflate/2,6-lutidine	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> /Et <sub>2</sub> O 1:10	r.t.	<b>10A</b> (85–95%)
	(Bu) <sub>2</sub> B triflate/2,6-lutidine	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> /Et <sub>2</sub> O 1:10	r.t.	<b>10A</b> (78%)
	$(\pm)$ -camphor-10-sulfonic acid	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	reflux	<b>10A</b> (25-30%), <b>11A</b> (25-30%)
	TsOH	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	reflux	<b>10A</b> (16%), <b>11A</b> (15%)

<sup>a</sup>) The formation fo the allylic alcohols **10A** and **10D** was not observed under the following conditions: *i*) tetramethylpiperidine/Et<sub>3</sub>Al, toluene, r.t.; *ii*) pyridinium toluene-4-sulfonate, CHCl<sub>3</sub>, reflux; *iii*) Al(i-PrO)<sub>3</sub>, toluene, reflux.

The rearrangement of the allylic alcohols **10A** and **10D** to the protected  $13\beta$ -hydroxymilbemycins **11A** and **11D**, respectively, was accomplished using Cr(VI) reagents [15]. With pyridinium dichromate (PDC) or pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC) at r.t., the allylic alcohol **11D** was produced regio- and stereoselectively, presumably through a hetero-*Claisen* reaction, in *ca.* 60% yield, accompanied by a small amount of epoxide **12D**. After longer reaction times, the *Sharpless*-type epoxide **12D** became the main product [16]. It is noteworthy that the synfacial allylic rearrangement is the only reaction observed: ketone **13D** (see below, *Scheme 2*) was not found, and the 13-oxomilbemycin derivative **14D** was isolated from the reaction mixture in only 4% yield. Using polymerbound PDC (PVPDC) in DMF at 60° for this transformation, the side reactions were suppressed, and pure **11A** was obtained in 81% yield. Although Cr(VI) reagents were unsuitable for the oxidation of the 13 $\beta$ -allylic alcohols **11A** and **11D** to the 13-oxomilbemycin derivatives **14A** and **14D**, these ketones could be synthesized using DMSO-based reagents<sup>7</sup>). *Kornblum* oxidation [17] of the trifluoroacetate of **11D** with DMSO and *Hünig*'s base at 110° yielded **14D** in 23% yield. *Ganem*'s silver-assisted variant [18] starting from 13 $\beta$ -bromo-5-O-[(*tert*-butyl)dimethylsilyl]milbemycin D [19] offered no improvement, yielding **13D** and **14D** in only 11 and 3% yields, respectively. High yields of the ketones **14A** and **14D** were obtained, however, after oxidation of **11A** and **11D** 



<sup>7</sup>) Oxidation of the  $13\alpha$ -hydroxy derivatives **15A** and **15D** with PDC in DMF afforded the ketones **14A** and **14D** in good yield [6b].

under *Swern* conditions [20] (*Scheme 1*). Reduction of the ketones **14A** and **14D** with NaBH<sub>4</sub> proved to be highly stereoselective producing the 13 $\alpha$ -hydroxymilbemycin derivatives **15A** and **15D** in 82 and 50% yields, respectively. The epimeric 13 $\beta$ -hydroxy derivative **11A** was isolated as a by-product in 6% yield. The silylated 13 $\alpha$ -hydroxymilbemycin D derivative **15D** was shown by 300-MHz <sup>1</sup>H-NMR to be identical with an authentic sample of 5-O-[(*tert*-butyl)dimethylsilyl]-22,23-dihydroavermectin B<sub>1b</sub> aglycone prepared from commercially available *Ivermectin* according to *Mrozik et al.* [7].

An entry into the 13-substituted milbemycin series with the unnatural (14Z)-double bond was obtained by rearrangement of the (15R)-allyl alcohol **16A** (*Scheme 2*). This intermediate was derived from **10A** through an oxidation-reduction sequence. *Swern* oxidation [20] of **10A** afforded the enone **13A** in 66% yield. The subsequent reduction with NaBH<sub>4</sub> proceeded with high stereoselectivity, forming the allylic alcohol **16A** (62%) and its C(15) epimer **10A** (4%), together with the 1,4-reduction product **17A** (11%). Treatment of **16A** with polymer-bound PDC in DMF at 70° produced the (14Z)-allylic alcohol **18A** (68%). This synfacial allylic rearrangement, as found for the rearrangements **10A**  $\rightarrow$  **11A** and **10D**  $\rightarrow$  **11D**, is clearly highly regio- and stereospecific, as no other isomer of product **18A** was found.

Apart from the interconversion of the two series of natural products, we have now in hand a number of highly functionalized, suitably protected compounds which have served as intermediates in the stereoselective synthesis of a range of new highly biologically active molecules [21].

**3.** Configurational Assignments. – For the 15-OH compounds (R=H) synthesized above, 19A, 22A, 24, and 25 are possible stereoisomers, for the 13-OH derivatives (R=H), stereoisomers 6A, 7A, 23A, and 26 could be considered, and for the 13- and 15-oxo compounds (R=H) the (E)-isomers 21A and 20A and the (Z)-isomers 27 and 28 were taken into account. The assignment of the (E/Z)- and (R/S)-configurations was



carried out using a combination of NMR spectroscopy and force-field calculations as described below. Spectral measurements were made predominately with the 5-OH derivatives (R=H). For this purpose, the 5-O-silyl derivatives 10A, 10D, 11A, 11D, 13A, 14A, 14D, 15A, 16A, and 18A were deprotected either with TsOH/MeOH, HF/H<sub>2</sub>O/MeCN [22], or (HF)<sub>x</sub> · pyridine/THF [23] to the corresponding alcohols 19A, 19D, 6A, 6D, 20A, 21A, 21D, 7A, 22A, and 23A, respectively.

Position of the Double Bond (at C(13) or C(14)). The double-bond position was derived from the *multiplet* structures of H–C(13) and H–C(15) in the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra: H–C(13) of the C(14)=C(15) isomers couples primarily with only H–C(12), whereas H–C(15) of the C(13)=C(14) isomers couples with 2H–C(16).

Distinction between (E)- and (Z)-Configuration. The 13- or 14-double-bond configuration was established by examining the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR chemical-shift value of the  $CH_3$ --C(14) resonance which is influenced by three  $\gamma$ -substituents. The three  $\gamma$ -effects can be estimated [24] from the torsion angles calculated for all possible configurations of the 13-OH and 15-OH compounds listed in *Table 3*. The  $\gamma$ -effect due to the *cis*- or *trans*-disposed C-atoms across the double bond is the dominant one and allows an unequivocal assignment of the (E/Z)-configuration to the double bonds of the compounds described here.

$\frac{-1}{-3}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{pr } C(16) & C(13) - O \\ c \text{ (bond)} & C(15) - O \\ \hline 157 \\ 180 \\ 66 \end{array}$	H or H or C(12) or C(16) (single bond) -78 72
-1 -3 -1	157 180 66	-78 72
<b>3</b> 1	180 66	72
1	66	
	00	-57
4	-55	68
179	51	-71
180	61	-61
180	156	-78
180	155	78
	179 180 180 180	-4     -35       179     51       180     61       180     156       180     155

Table 3. Chemical-Shift Values of  $CH_3-C(14)$  and Dihedral Angles

Thus, the chemical shifts observed for  $CH_3-C(14)$  of **22A**, **7A**, **19A**, and **6A** (10.1–15.0 ppm) when compared with the value for  $CH_3CH=CH_2$  (19.4 ppm), experience a large negative  $\gamma$ -effect due to the (*E*)-double bond. This (*E*)-configuration has been established for **7A** by X-ray analysis [10]. The chemical shifts for  $CH_3-C(14)$  of the two ketones **20A** and **21A** (12.8 and 12.9 ppm) are again compatible only with an (*E*)-configuration, and **23A** must be (*Z*)-configurated to comply with the chemical shift of 17.6 ppm (no large negative  $\gamma$ -shift).

A striking feature of *Table 3* is the fact that the chemical-shift values may be grouped into C(13)=C(14) and C(14)=C(15) isomer pairs. Since there are only two independent torsion angles and one of them may assume only two values, this correspondance of the chemical shifts suggests that a symmetry relationship exists between the fragments  $C(13)=C(CH_3)-C(15)$  and  $C(13)-C(CH_3)=C(15)$  with respect to the torsion angles. This is nicely corroborated by the calculated torsion angles which allow the configurations of the 13-OH and 15-OH compounds to be easily grouped in two (Z)- and two (E)-pairs. This concordance may reflect the ability of the allylic alcohol moiety to determine its own conformation, despite the restraining influence of the macrocycle.

Configurations at the Tertiary C-Atoms C(15) and C(13). The configurations were derived from a comparison of experimental NMR coupling constants and nuclear Overhauser enhancements (NOE) with the corresponding calculated dihedral angles and distances.

A 7% NOE at H–C(15) was observed upon irradiation of H–C(17) in **19A**. This value is in keeping with a force-field-derived H–H distance of 2.52 Å for **19A** and is inconsistant with the correspondingly derived H–H distance of 3.72 Å for **22A**. The 15% NOE at H–C(15) observed on irradiation of H–C(13) in **19A**, is again consistent with the 2.26 Å H–H distance derived for **19A** and incompatible with the value of 3.55 Å derived for the (15*R*)-epimer **22A**. Furthermore, the J(H-C(15),H-C(16)) of 12.8 and 4.5 Hz of **19A** are in agreement with the force-field-derived torsion angles of 168 and 55°, respectively, while **22A** shows unresolved coupling (< 5 Hz) between these protons, in agreement with the calculated torsion angles of 49° and -64°.

Isomer 23A was distinguished from its hypothetical C(13) epimer 26 on the basis of its J(H-C(12),H-C(13))of 10 Hz which is compatible with the force-field-derived torsion angle of  $-176^\circ$ , rather than  $-56^\circ$  for 26. For 23A, no NOE of CH<sub>3</sub>-C(14) was observed upon irradiation of H-C(13), in agreement with the calculated CH<sub>3</sub>-H distance of 4.02 Å. An NOE would be expected, however, for 26, with a CH<sub>3</sub>-H distance of 3.0 Å.

The configuration of alcohol **7A** has been determined by X-ray analysis as 13S ( $\alpha$ -OH). Consequently, **6A** has (13R)-configuration ( $\beta$ -OH). There is good agreement between the theoretical and experimental parameters (see *Table 3* and *Exper. Part*).

4. Computational Methods. – Force-field calculations were carried out using the MACROMODEL molecular-modeling system [25] on a *DEC VAX 8650* running the *VMS* operating system. Low-energy conformers of structures **19A**, **6A**, **22A**, **7A**, and **23A** were modeled in order to calculate torsion angles and H–H distances for comparison with experimental chemical-shift values, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR coupling constants, and NOE-derived distances as described above. The structures were modeled from the avermectin  $B_{1a}$  aglycone X-ray coordinates [10]. Conformers of agles using the MULTIC submode in MACROMODEL [26]. A 60° torsion increment and minimum and maximum closure distances of 1.0 and 4.0 Å, respectively, were used in the systematic search. The C(14)==C(15) or C(13)=C(14) bond was used to define the closure bond. All of the conformers generated by the systematic search were minimized using the modified MM2 parameter set [27]. The ring torsion angles of the lowest-energy conformer of **7A** were within 20° of the avermectin X-ray ring torsion angles.

The help of the following persons for performing spectral and elemental analyses is gratefully acknowledged: Miss B. Brandenberg, Mr. F. Fehr, Mrs. L. Golgowski, Mr. M. Langenauer, Mr. Dr. Manser, and Prof. J. Seibl (ETH, Zürich), and Mr. P. Birrer, Dr. H.-P. Kriemler, and Mrs. S. Osswald (Physics Department).

## **Experimental Part**

General. See [28], except as noted below. Flash chromatography (FC): silica gel 60, Merck, 0.040–0.063 mm, 230–400 mesh ASTM (SiO<sub>2</sub>), according to [29]; for inner diameter (i.d.) and length of SiO<sub>2</sub> column, see text. Anal. pure samples were obtained, in general, after repeated FC on SiO<sub>2</sub>; in some cases further purification was necessary with an HPLC (*Du Pont Instruments, Model 830*, UV detector; 25 cm  $\times$  23.6 mm SiO<sub>2</sub> column). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra: in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solns.; *Bruker-WM-250* (250 MHz) or- *WM-300* (300 MHz) instrument; only the relevant signals are given. Field-desorption mass spectra (FD-MS): *Varian-MAT-CH5-DF* spectrometer.

1. Epoxides 8A, 8D, 9A, and 9D. 1.1. To a well stirred mixture of milbemycin  $A_4$  (1A; 63.5 g, 117 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (700 ml) and 5% aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> soln. (450 ml) at 5° was added, within 4 h, a soln. of 3-chloroperbenzoic acid (26.9 g, 155 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (200 ml). After stirring for 1 h at 5°, the mixture was poured into  $H_2O$  (500 ml) and extracted with 3 × 500 ml of  $CH_2Cl_2$ . The combined org. phases were washed with sat. aq. NaCl soln. (500 ml), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. FC (i.d. 15 cm; 50 cm of SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/AcOEt 2:1) afforded 8A (50.5 g, 77%). For spectral data, see [8a].

To a soln. of **8A** (50.5 g, 90 mmol) in DMF (80 ml) were added imidazole (7.4 g, 108 mmol) and  $(t-Bu)Me_2SiCl$  (14.9 g, 99 mmol). After stirring for 4 h at r.t., the mixture was poured into H<sub>2</sub>O (500 ml) and extracted with

 $3 \times 500$  ml of Et<sub>2</sub>O. The org. extracts were washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (250 ml) and sat. aq. NaCl soln. (250 ml), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. FC (i.d. 15 cm; 50 cm of SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/AcOEt 6:1) afforded (14S,15R)-5-O-f (tertbutyl)dimethylsilyl]-14,15-epoxy-14,15-dihydromilbemycin A<sub>4</sub> (**9A**; 52.7 g, 87%). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.11 (s, Me<sub>2</sub>Si); 0.80 (d, J = 6, 3 H–C(30)); 0.92 (s, (t-Bu)Si); 0.97 (t, J = 7.5, 3 H–C(32)); 0.98 (d, J = 7, 3 H–C(28)); 1.23 (s, 3 H–C(29)); 1.79 (br. s, 3 H–C(26)); 2.38 (m, H–C(12)); 2.58 (d, J = 10, H–C(15)); 3.05 (dt, J<sub>d</sub> = 2, 5, J<sub>t</sub> = 9, H–C(25)); 3.38 (m, H–C(2)); 3.52 (s, OH); 3.72 (br. t, J = 12, H–C(17)); 3.82 (d, J = 6, H–C(6)); 4.41 (m, H–C(5)); 4.60 (dd, J = 15, 2, H–C(27)); 4.70 (dd, J = 15, 2, H–C(27)); 5.28 (m (sym. 7-line system), H–C(19)); 5.35 (br. s, H–C(3)); 5.43 (dd, J = 15, 10, H–C(11)); 5.75 (dt, J<sub>d</sub> = 11, J<sub>t</sub> = 2.5, H–C(9)); 5.88 (dd, J = 15, 11, H–C(10)). FD-MS: 672 (M<sup>+</sup>, C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>60</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Si).

*1.2.* To a stirred mixture of milbemycin **1D** (40.0 g, 71.9 mmol) and NaOAc (14.4 g, 180 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (800 ml) was added 3-chloroperbenzoic acid (17.6 g, 86 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 4 h at r.t. under Ar, quenched by the addition of 400 ml of 10% aq. Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> soln., and then extracted with  $3 \times 250$  ml of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The combined org. phases were washed with sat. aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (400 ml) and sat. aq. NaCl soln. (400 ml) dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated: 40.5 g of crude **8D**.

Crude **8D** (40.5 g) was treated as described in *1.1* with imidazole (19.3 g, 283 mmol) in DMF (81 ml) and (*t*-Bu)Me<sub>2</sub>Si (21.3 g, 141 mmol), for 2 h at r.t. Workup (pouring into H<sub>2</sub>O (800 ml)) and FC afforded (*14S*, *15* R)-5-O-*f* (tert-*butyl*)*dimethylsilyl*]-*14*, *15-epoxy-14*, *15-dihydromilbemycin* D (**9D**). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.09 (*s*, Me<sub>2</sub>Si); 0.81 (*d*, J = 6, 3 H–C(30)); 0.89, 1.02 (2*d*, J = 7, 3 H–C(32), 3 H–C(33)); 0.93 (*s*, (*t*-BuSi)); 1.05 (*d*, J = 7, 3 H–C(28)); 1.24 (*s*, 3 H–C(29)); 1.80 (br. *s*, 3 H–C(26)); 2.59 (*d*, J = 10, H–C(15)); 3.06 (br. *d*, J = 10, H–C(25)); 3.38 (*m*, H–C(2)); 3.55 (*s*, OH); 3.76 (*m*, H–C(17)); 3.83 (*d*, J = 5, H–C(6)); 4.43 (*m*, H–C(5)); 4.60 (*dd*, J = 15, 2, H–C(27)); 4.73 (*dd*, J = 15, 2, H–C(27)); 5.26 (*m*, H–C(19)); 5.36 (br. *s*, H–C(3)); 5.44 (*dd*, J = 14.5, 10, H–C(11)); 5.76 (br. *d*, J = 11, H–C(9)); 5.90 (*dd*, J = 14.5, 11, H–C(10)). MS: 687 (18), 686 (36,  $M^{++}$ , C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>62</sub>OSi), 668 (10), 629 (10), 611 (32), 593 (9), 444 (56), 426 (16), 372 (10), 331 (12), 295 (24), 225 (41), 209 (62), 181 (71), 150 (35), 122 (22), 121 (25), 109 (30), 107 (32), 97 (57), *95* (100), 74 (72), 73 (84), 71 (65), 55 (73).

2. Allylic Alcohols **10A**, **10D**, **19A**, and **19D**. 2.1. To a stirred soln. of **9A** (10.1 g, 15.0 mmol) and 2,6-lutidine (4.40 ml, 37.5 mmol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (120 ml) and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (12 ml) was added at r.t., within 10 min, 9-BBN triflate (66 ml of a 0.5m soln. in hexane, 33.0 mmol). After stirring for 1 h at r.t. under Ar, the soln. was treated at 0° with H<sub>2</sub>O (37.5 ml), 2N NaOH (33.0 ml), and 30% aq. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> soln. (75 ml). The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h at r.t., then poured into H<sub>2</sub>O (150 ml), and extracted with 3 × 250 ml of Et<sub>2</sub>O. The combined org. phases were washed with sat. aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (250 ml) and sat. aq. NaCl soln. (250 ml), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. FC (i.d. 6.5 cm; 50 cm of SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/AcOEt 4:1) afforded (*13* E,*15*S)-5-O-[*(* tert-*butyl*)*dimethylsilyl*]-*13*,*14*-*didehydro*-*14*,*15*-*dihydro*-*15*-*hydroxymilbemycin*  $A_4$  (**10A**; 9.30 g, 92%). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.11 (*s*, Me<sub>2</sub>Si); 0.80 (*d*, *J* = 6, 3 H-C(30)); 0.92 (*s*, (*t*-BuSi); 0.97 (*d*, *J* = 7.5, 3 H-C(32)); 1.06 (*d*, *J* = 7, 3 H-C(28)); 1.59 (br. *s*, 3 H-C(27)); 1.77 (br. *s*, 3 H-C(26)); 2.97 (*d*, *J* = 2.5, *J*<sub>t</sub> = 10, H-C(25)); 3.06 (*m*, H-C(12)); 3.33 (*m*, H-C(2), H-C(17)); 3.86 (*d*, *J* = 15, 2.5, H-C(27)); 4.85 (*m* (sym. 7-line system), H-C(19)); 5.12 (*d*, *J* = 9, H-C(13)); 5.20 (*dd*, *J* = 15, 10, H-C(23)); 5.64 (*dt*, *J<sub>d</sub>* = 11, *J<sub>t</sub>* = 2, H-C(9)); 5.78 (*dd*, *J* = 15, 11, H-C(10)). FD-MS: 672 ( $M^+$ , C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>60</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Si).

To a stirred soln. of Et<sub>3</sub>Al (21.9 ml, 160 mmol) in THF (50 ml) at  $-78^{\circ}$  was added a 2M soln. of HN<sub>3</sub> [30] in Et<sub>2</sub>O (48.1 ml, 96.2 mmol). The mixture was allowed to warm to 0° and then treated with a soln. of **9D** (22.0 g, 32.0 mmol) in THF (30 ml). After heating at reflux for 24 h, the mixture was poured into Et<sub>2</sub>O (500 ml), then *Celite* (50 g) and a sat. aq. potassium sodium tartrate soln. (30 ml) were added. After stirring for 1 h, the mixture was filtered, dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. FC (i.d. 5 cm; 40 cm SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/AcOEt 4:1) afforded **9D** (5.9 g, conversion 73%) and (*13*E,*15*S)-5-O-[/(tert-*butyl*)*dimethylsilyl*]-*13*,14-*didehydro*-14,15-*dihydro*-15-*hydroxy-milbemycin A*<sub>4</sub> (**10D**; 9.4 g, 59% based on converted **9D**). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.14 (*s*, MeSi); 0.80 (*d*, J = 6, 3 H–C(20)); 0.90, 1.02 (2*d*, J = 7, 3 H–C(32), 3 H–C(33)); 1.07 (*d*, J = 7, 3 H–C(28)); 1.60 (br. *s*, 3 H–C(20)); 1.79 (br. *s*, 3 H–C(6)); 3.02 (br. *d*, J = 9, H–C(25)); 3.08 (*m*, H–C(12)); 3.29–3.46 (*m*, H–C(2)), H–C(17)); 3.87 (*d*, J = 6, H–C(27)); 4.68 (*dd*, J = 15, 2, H–C(27)); 4.86 (*m* (sym. 7-line system), H–C(19)); 5.13 (*d*, J = 10, H–C(10)). MS: 687 (20), 686 (38,  $M^+$ , C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>62</sub>OSi), 643 (4), 611 (17), 593 (4), 455 (6), 444 (13), 426 (44), 408 (12), 387 (8), 372 (16), 330 (13), 321 (18), 303 (10), 275 (11), 264 (22), 225 (24), 209 (78), *181* (100), 147 (30), 97 (50), 95 (80), 74 (54), 73 (62), 69 (62), 55 (54).

2.2. A soln. of **10A** (91 mg, 0.135 mmol) in 1% TsOH in MeOH (1 ml) was stirred at r.t. for 2 h, then poured into 5% aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> soln. and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O. The org. phase was dried and evaporated. FC (SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/AcOEt 1:4) afforded ( $13E_115S_1-13_114$ -didehydro- $14_115$ -dihydro-15-hydroxymilbemycin  $A_4$  (**19A**; 70 mg,

93%). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.84 (d, J = 6, 3 H–C(30)); 0.99 (t, J = 7, 3 H–C(32)); 1.09 (d, J = 7, 3 H–C(28)); 1.58 (s, 3 H–C(29)); 1.88 (s, 3 H–C(26)); 1.98 (br. t, J = 10, H–C(25)); 2.10 (m, H–C(12)); 2.28 (m, H–C(2)); 2.36 (br. t, J = 9, H–C(17)); 2.81 (s, OH); 4.05 (d, J = 6, H–C(6)); 4.09 (m, H–C(15)); 4.33 (m, H–C(5)); 4.69 (br. d, J = 14, H–C(27)); 4.75 (br. d, J = 14, H–C(27)); 4.92 (m, H–C(19)); 5.18 (br. d, J = 11, H–C(13)); 5.27 (dd, J = 14, 11, H–C(11)); 5.47 (br. s, H–C(3)); 5.73 (br. d, J = 12, H–C(9)); 5.83 (dd, J = 14, 12, H–C(10)). FD-MS: 558 ( $M^+$ , C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>46</sub>O<sub>8</sub>).

A soln. of **10D** (30 mg, 0.044 mmol) in 1% TsOH in MeOH (1 ml) was treated as described above affording (*13* E, *15* S)-*13*, *14*-*didehydro*-*14*, *15*-*dihydro*-*15*-*hydroxymilbemycin* D (**19D**; 21 mg, 83%). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.80 (*d*, J = 6, 3 H–C(30)); 0.89, 1.03, 1.07 (*3d*, J = 7, 3 H–C(28), 3 H–C(32), 3 H–C(33)); 1.59 (*d*, J = 1, 3 H–C(29)); 1.88 (*t*, J = 2, 3 H–C(26)); 3.02 (br. *d*, J = 8, H–C(25)); 3.09 (*m*, H–C(12)); 3.26 (*m*, H–C(2)); 3.38 (br. *t*, J = 10, H–C(17)); 3.77 (*s*, OH); 4.02 (*d*, J = 6, H–C(6)); 4.07 (*dd*, J = 11, 5, H–C(15)); 4.30 (*m*, H–C(15)); 4.67 (*dd*, J = 15, 2, H–C(27)); 4.72 (*dd*, J = 15, 2, H–C(27)); 4.87 (*m*, H–C(19)); 5.13 (*dd*, J = 9, 1, H–C(13)); 5.23 (*dd*, J = 14, 10 H–C(11)); 5.46 (*m*, H–C(2)); 5.72 (*dt*,  $J_d = 11$ ,  $J_t = 2$ , H–C(9)); 5.80 (*dd*, J = 14, 11, H–C(10)). MS: 572 (10,  $M^{++}$ , C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>48</sub>O<sub>8</sub>), 444 (14), 426 (24), 330 (11), 264 (15), 209 (51), 181 (37), 151 (29), 123 (23), 111 (28), 107 (26), 97 (34), 95 (87), 83 (46), 81 (22), 69 (44), 67 (32), 59 (47), 55 (69), 43 (100), 41 (53).

3. Allylic Alcohols **6A**, **6D**, **11A**, and **11D**. 3.1. To a soln. of **10A** (9.20 g, 13.67 mmol) in DMF (40 ml) was added poly(4-vinylpyridinium dichromate) (PVPDC; 5.70 g, 13.67 mmol). After stirring for 5 h at 70°, Et<sub>2</sub>O (200 ml) was added, the mixture filtered over *Celite*, and the filter cake washed with  $3 \times 100$  ml of Et<sub>2</sub>O. The filtrate was washed with 1N HCl (200 ml), sat. aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (200 ml) and sat. aq. NaCl soln. (200 ml), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. FC (i.d. 5 cm; 50 cm SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/AcOEt 4:1) afforded 5-O-*f* (tert-*butyl*)*dimethylsilyl*]-*13β*-*hydrox-ymilbemycin*  $A_4$  (**11A**; 7.41 g, 81%). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.12 (*s*, MeSi); 0.82 (*d*, *J* = 6, 3 H–C(30)); 0.92 (*s*, (*t*-Bu)Si); 0.98 (*t*, *J* = 7.5, 3 H–C(32)); 1.12 (*d*, *J* = 7, 3 H–C(28)); 1.59 (br. *s*, 3 H–C(29)); 1.79 (br. *s*, H–C(26)); 3.06 (*dt*,  $J_d$  = 2.5,  $J_t$  = 9.5, H–C(25)); 3.36 (*m*, H–C(2)); 3.56 (*m*, H–C(17)); 3.72 (*dd*, *J* = 10, 2.5, H–C(13)); 3.81 (*d*, *J* = 6, H–C(6)); 4.04 (*s*, OH); 4.42 (*m*, H–C(5)); 4.58 (*dd*, *J* = 15, 2, H–C(27)); 4.68 (*dd*, *J* = 15, 2, H–C(27)); 5.18–5.37 (*m*, H–C(11), H–C(15), H–C(19)); 5.31 (br. *s*, H–C(3)); 5.68–5.85 (*m*, H–C(19), H–C(10)). FD-MS: 672 ( $M^+$ , C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>60</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Si).

A soln. of **10D** (500 mg, 0.728 mmol) in DMF (3 ml) was treated with pyridinium dichromate (PDC; 140 mg, 372 mmol). After stirring for 30 min at r.t., i-PrOH (1.0 ml) was added and the mixture poured into 50 ml of Et<sub>2</sub>O. SiO<sub>2</sub> (1.0 g) and *Celite* (5 g) were added. After stirring for 15 min, the mixture was filtered and evaporated. FC (100 g of SiO<sub>2</sub>) afforded **10D** (60 mg, 12%) and 5-O-[*(*tert-*butyl*)*dimethylsily*]*-13β-hydroxymilbemycin D* (**11D**; 304 mg, 61%). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.14 (*s*, MeSi); 0.82 (*d*, J = 6, 3 H-C(30)); 0.88, 1.04 (*2d*, J = 7, 3 H-C(32), 3 H-C(33)); 0.95 (*s*, (*t*-Bu)Si); 1.15 (*d*, J = 6.5, 3 H-C(28)); 1.60 (br. *s*, 3 H-C(29)); 1.80 (br. *s*, 3 H-C(26)); 3.07 (br. *d*, J = 9, H-C(25)); 3.36 (*m*, H-C(2)); 3.59 (*m*, H-C(17)); 3.72 (*d*, J = 10, H-C(13)); 3.82 (*d*, J = 6, H-C(6)); 4.03 (*s*, OH); 4.45 (*m*, H-C(5)); 4.60 (br. *d*, J = 15, H-C(27)); 4.69 (br. *d*, J = 15, H-C(27)); 5.14-5.43 (*m*, H-C(11), H-C(15), H-C(19)); 5.33 (br. *s*, H-C(3)); 5.69-5.87 (*m*, H-C(9), H-C(10)). FD-MS: 686 ( $M^{++}$ , C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>62</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Si).

3.2. A soln. of **11A** (27 mg, 0.040 mmol) in 40% aq. HF soln./MeCN 5:95 (1 ml) was stirred at r.t. for 1 h, then poured into sat. aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> soln. (50 ml) and extracted with  $3 \times 50$  ml of Et<sub>2</sub>O. The combined org. phases were washed with sat. aq. NaCl soln. (100 ml), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. FC (SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/AcOEt 1:1) afforded 13β-hydroxymilbemycin  $A_4$  (**6A**; 22 mg, 98%). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.81 (d, J = 6, 3 H–C(30)); 0.98 (t, J = 7.5, 3 H–C(32)); 1.12 (d, J = 7, 3 H–C(28)); 1.57 (br. s, 3 H–C(29)); 1.87 (br. s, 3 H–C(26)); 3.05 (dt,  $J_d = 2.5$ ,  $J_t = 9.5$ , H–C(25)); 3.25 (m, H–C(2)); 3.56 (m, H–C(17)); 3.71 (dd, J = 10, 3, H–C(13)); 3.95 (d, J = 6, H–C(6)); 4.02 (s, OH); 4.28 (br. t, J = 6, H–C(5)); 4.65 (d, J = 15, H–C(27)); 4.71 (d, J = 15, H–C(27)); 5.23 (br. t, J = 8, H–C(15)); 5.28–5.42 (m, H–C(11), H–C(19)); 5.70–5.81 (m, H–C(9), H–C(10)). FD-MS: 558 ( $M^+$ , C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>46</sub>O<sub>8</sub>).

Deprotection of **11D** (105 mg, 0.153 mmol) in 1% TsOH in MeOH (1 ml) as described in 2.2 gave, after FC (SiO<sub>2</sub>, acctone/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> 1:4), 13β-hydroxymilbemycin D (**6D**; 73 mg, 83%). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.81 (d, J = 6, 3 H-C(30)); 0.87, 1.04 (2d, J = 7, 3 H-C(32), 3 H-C(33)); 1.13 (d, J = 6, 3 H-C(28)); 1.58 (s, 3 H-C(29)); 1.87 (t, J = 1.5, 3 H-C(26)); 3.07 (dd, J = 10, 2, H-C(25)); 3.27 (m, H-C(2)); 3.61 (m, H-C(17)); 3.71 (d, J = 10, H-C(13)); 3.95 (d, J = 6, H-C(6)); 4.00 (s, OH); 4.29 (m, H-C(5)); 4.68 (br. s, 2H-C(27)); 5.22 (m, H-C(15)); 5.28-5.39 (m, H-C(10), H-C(10)); 5.41 (d, J = 1, H-C(3)); 5.77-5.80 (m, H-C(9), H-C(10)). MS: 572 (4,  $M^+$ , C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>48</sub>O<sub>8</sub>), 554 (9), 294 (16), 293 (77), 261 (11), 221 (16), 209 (22), 181 (46), 179 (45), 157 (33), 152 (36), 151 (38), 139 (28), 137 (78), 123 (22), 121 (20), 111 (26), 109 (29), 97 (60), 96 (22), 95 (98), 94 (20), 93 (36), 83 (44), 81 (39), 79 (37), 69 (50), 55 (100).

4. 5-O-[(tert-Butyl)dimethylsilyl]-14,15-epoxy-14,15-dihydro-13β-hydroxymilbemycin D (12D). A soln. of 10D (158 mg, 0.23 mmol) and PDC (500 mg, 1.329 mmol) in DMF (1 ml) was stirred at r.t. for 1 h. CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 ml)

was then added and the mixture filtered through SiO<sub>2</sub>, the latter washed with acetone/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> 1:9, and the solvent evaporated. FC (SiO<sub>2</sub>, AcOEt/hexane 1:4) afforded **12D** (64 mg, 40%). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.14 (*s*, MeSi); 0.81 (*d*, J = 6, 3 H–C(30)); 0.88, 1.05 (2*d*, J = 7, 3 H–C(32), 3 H–C(33)); 0.93 (*s*, (*t*-Bu)Si); 1.12 (*d*, J = 7, 3 H–C(28)); 1.27 (*s*, 3 H–C(29)); 1.80 (*d*, J = 1, H–C(26)); 2.38 (*m*, H–C(12)); 2.78 (*dd*, J = 10, 1.5, H–C(15)); 2.83 (*d*, J = 10, H–C(13)); 3.06 (br. *d*, J = 7, H–C(25)); 3.39 (*m*, H–C(21)); 3.76 (*m*, H–C(17)); 3.83 (*d*, J = 6, H–C(6)); 4.42 (*m*, H–C(5)); 4.62 (*dd*, J = 15, 2, H–C(27)); 4.72 (*dd*, J = 15, 2, H–C(27)); 5.38 (*m*, H–C(3)); 5.38 (*dd*, J = 15, 10, H–C(11)); 5.76 (br. *t*, J = 11, H–C(9)); 5.96 (*dd*, J = 15, 11, H–C(10)). MS: 702 (3,  $M^+$ ,  $C_{39}H_{62}O_9Si$ ), 460 (23), 317 (12), 309 (13), 226 (10), 225 (45), 209 (56), 181 (74), 163 (22), 157 (27), 151 (70), 150 (43), 139 (35), 137 (20), 123 (35), 121 (33), 111 (32), 109 (32), 107 (29), 97 (68), 95 (97), 93 (49), 75 (94), 73 (100), 69 (61), 67 (38), 57 (32), 55 (69), 43 (69), 41 (38).

5. Enones 14A, 14D, 21A, and 21D. 5.1. To a stirred soln. of oxalyl chloride (3.60 ml, 39.9 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (150 ml) at  $-60^{\circ}$  was added a soln. of DMSO (5.70 ml, 79.0 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 ml) within 10 min. Then, a soln. of 11A (25.60 g, 38.0 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (75 ml) was added within 30 min. After stirring for 30 min at  $-60^{\circ}$ , the mixture was treated with Et<sub>3</sub>N (16.7 ml, 119.7 mmol), stirred for 5 min at  $-60^{\circ}$ , allowed to warm to 0°, poured into 0.5N HCl (250 ml), and extracted with 3 × 250 ml of Et<sub>2</sub>O. The combined org. phases were washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (250 ml) and sat. aq. NaCl soln. (250 ml), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. FC (i.d. 6.5 cm; 50 cm SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 3:1) afforded 5-O-[*i* (tert-*butyl*)*dimethylsily*]-13-oxomilbemycin A<sub>4</sub> (14A; 25.0 g, 98%). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.12 (*s*, MeSi); 0.82 (*d*, *J* = 6, 3 H–C(30)); 0.91 (*s*, (*t*-Bu)Si); 0.94 (*t*, *J* = 7.5, 3 H–C(25)); 3.39 (*m*, H–C(2)); 3.05 (*dt*, *J<sub>d</sub>* = 2.5, *J<sub>t</sub>* = 9.5, H–C(25)); 3.39 (*m*, H–C(2)); 3.05 (*dt*, *J<sub>d</sub>* = 2.5, 5H–C(25)); 3.39 (*dt*, *J<sub>d</sub>* = 2, 15, H–C(27)); 5.25–5.44 (*m*, H–C(11), H–C(19)); 5.30 (br. *s*, H–C(3)); 5.80 (*dt*, *J<sub>d</sub>* = 11, 15, H–C(10)); 6.21 (br. *t*, *J* = 8, H–C(15)). FD-MS: 670 (*M*<sup>+</sup>, C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>58</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Si).

Oxidation as above, with oxalyl chloride (280 µl, 3.258 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 ml), DMSO (460 µl, 6.476 mmol; neat, within 5 min), and **11D** (1.112 g, 1.619 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 ml; within 5 min). Workup as above, with Et<sub>3</sub>N (2.30 ml, 16.50 mmol), pouring into H<sub>2</sub>O (50 ml), and extraction with  $3 \times 100$  ml of Et<sub>2</sub>O. FC (i.d. 5 cm; 20 cm SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 2:1) afforded 5-O-[*i* (tert-*butyl*)*dimethylsilyl*]-*13-oxomilbemycin D* (**14D**; 991 mg, 90%). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.12 (*s*, Me<sub>2</sub>Si); 0.81 (*d*, *J* = 6, 3 H–C(30)); 0.86, 0.99 (2*d*, *J* = 7, 3 H–C(32), 3 H–C(33)); 0.95 (*s*, (*t*-Bu)Si); 1.16 (*d*, *J* = 7, 3 H–C(28)); 1.80 (br. *s*, 3 H–C(26)); 1.83 (br. *s*, 3 H–C(29)); 3.06 (*d*, *J* = 9, H–C(25)); 3.41 (*m*, H–C(2)); 3.51–3.69 (*m*, H–C(12), H–C(17)); 3.83 (*d*, *J* = 5.5, H–C(6)); 4.36 (*s*, OH); 4.44 (*m*, H–C(5)); 4.61 (*dd*, *J* = 15, 2, H–C(27)); 4.73 (*dd*, *J* = 15, 2, H–C(27)); 5.30 (*m*, H–C(19)); 5.33 (br. *s*, H–C(3)); 5.40 (*dd*, *J* = 15, 11, C(11)); 5.81 (*dt*, *J<sub>d</sub>* = 11, *J<sub>t</sub>* = 2, H–C(9)); 6.04 (*dd*, *J* = 15, 11, H–C(10)); 6.22 (br. *t*, *J* = 8, H–C(15)). FD-MS: 684 (*M*<sup>++</sup>, C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>60</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Si).

5.2. A soln. of **14A** (54 mg, 0.0805 mmol) in 40% aq. HF soln./MeCN 5:95 (1 ml) was stirred at r.t. for 45 min. Workup as in 3.2 and FC (SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/AcOEt 2:1) afforded *13-oxomilbemycin*  $A_4$  (**21A**; 35 mg, 78%). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.83 (d, J = 7, 3 H–C(30)); 0.93 (t, J = 7.5, 3 H–C(32)); 1.16 (d, J = 7, 3 H–C(28)); 1.82 (br. s, 3 H–C(26)); 1.87 (br. s, 3 H–C(29)); 3.03 (dt,  $J_d = 2.5$ ,  $J_t = 9.5$ , H–C(25)); 3.30 (m, H–C(2)); 3.51–3.65 (m, H–C(12), H–C(17)); 4.94 (d, J = 7.5, H–C(6)); 4.22 (s, OH); 4.29 (br. t, J = 7.5, H–C(5)); 4.67 (dd, J = 2, 15, H–C(27)); 4.73 (dd, J = 2, 15, H–C(27)); 5.28–5.48 (m, H–C(11), H–C(19)); 5.38 (br. s, H–C(3)); 5.83 (dt,  $J_d = 11$ ,  $J_t = 2$ , H–C(9)); 6.03 (dd, J = 11, 15, H–C(10)); 6.21 (br. t, J = 8, H–C(15)). MS: 557 (4), 556 (14,  $M^+$ , C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>44</sub>O<sub>8</sub>), 538 (2), 528 (16), 295 (72), 277 (10), 261 (24), 237 (14), 195 (70), *167* (100), 143 (94), 125 (32), 97 (40), 95 (68), 83 (54), 69 (28), 55 (78), 41 (32).

To a soln. of **14D** (53 mg, 0.077 mmol) in MeOH (1 ml) was added a 2% soln. (1 ml) of TsOH in MeOH. After stirring for 80 min at r.t., the mixture was poured into sat. aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> soln. (50 ml) and extracted with  $3 \times 50$  ml of Et<sub>2</sub>O. The combined org. phases were washed with sat. aq. NaCl soln. (100 ml), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. FC (SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/AcOEt 1:1) afforded *13-oxomilbemycin D* (**21D**; 34 mg, 77%). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.83 (*d*, *J* = 6, 3 H–C(30)); 0.86, 0.99 (2*d*, *J* = 7, 3 H–C(32), 3 H–C(33)); 1.18 (*d*, *J* = 6, 3 H–C(28)); 1.83 (br. *s*, 3 H–C(29)); 3.05 (br. *d*, *J* = 9, H–C(25)); 3.32 (*m*, H–C(2)); 3.55–3.74 (*m*, H–C(12), H–C(17)); 3.99 (*d*, *J* = 6.5, H–C(6)); 4.24 (*s*, OH); 4.31 (br. *t*, *J* = 6.5, H–C(5)); 4.70 (*dd*, *J* = 15, 2, H–C(27)); 4.77 (*dd*, *J* = 15, 2, H–C(27)); 5.24–5.50 (*m*, H–C(11), H–C(19)); 5.42 (br. *s*, H–C(3)); 5.86 (*dt*, *J<sub>d</sub>*=11, *J<sub>t</sub>*= 2, H–C(9)); 6.04 (*dd*, *J* = 14.5, 11, H–C(10)); 6.24 (br. *t*, *J* = 8.5, H–C(15)). FD-MS: 570 (*M*<sup>+</sup>, C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>46</sub>O<sub>8</sub>).

6. Allylic Alcohols 15A, 15D, and 7A. 6.1. To a stirred soln. of 14A (392 mg, 0.584 mmol) in EtOH (3 ml) was added NaBH<sub>4</sub> (22 mg, 0.582 mmol). After stirring for 15 min at r.t., the reaction was quenched with sat. aq. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl soln. (2 ml). The mixture was poured into sat. aq. NaCl soln. (50 ml) and extracted with  $3 \times 50$  ml of Et<sub>2</sub>O. The combined org. phases were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. FC (SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/AcOEt 6:1) afforded epimer 11A

(22 mg, 6%) and 5-O-[*i* tert-*butyl*)*dimethylsilyl*]-13 $\alpha$ -hydroxymilbemycin  $A_4$  (15A; 23 mg, 82%). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.13 (*s*, Me<sub>2</sub>Si); 0.82 (*d*, *J* = 7, 3 H–C(30)); 0.92 (*s*, (*t*-Bu)Si); 0.99 (*t*, *J* = 7.5 3 H–C(32)); 1.16 (*d*, *J* = 7, 3 H–C(28)); 1.54 (br. *s*, 3 H–C(29)); 1.70 (br. *s*, 3 H–C(26)); 3.07 (*dt*,  $J_d$  = 2.5,  $J_t$  = 9.5, H–C(25)); 3.65 (*m*, H–C(17)); 3.81 (*d*, *J* = 6, H–C(6)); 4.00 (br. *s*, H–C(13)); 4.13 (*s*, OH); 4.43 (*m*, H–C(5)); 4.57 (*d*, *J* = 15, H–C(27)); 4.67 (*d*, *J* = 15, H–C(27)); 5.24–5.42 (*m*, H–C(15), H–C(19)); 5.30 (br. *s*, H–C(3)); 5.59–5.82 (*m*, H–C(9), H–C(10), H–C(11)). FD-MS: 672 (*M*<sup>+</sup>, C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>60</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Si).

A soln. of **14D** (8 mg, 11.7  $\mu$ mol) in EtOH (1 ml) was treated with a soln. of NaBH<sub>4</sub> (1 mg) in EtOH (0.1 ml). After 5 min, the reaction was quenched with sat. aq. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl soln. and diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (8 ml). The suspension was filtered through a plug of SiO<sub>2</sub>, and FC (SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/AcOEt 1:4) gave **15D** (4 mg, 50%). This material was identical to an authentic sample prepared according to [7] (TLC, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz)).

6.2. Deprotection of **15A** (25 mg, 0.037 mmol) as described in 3.2 gave, after FC (SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/AcOEt 3:2), 13x-hydroxymilbemycin  $A_4$  (**7A**; 18 mg, 87%). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.84 (d, J = 6, 3 H–C(30)); 1.01 (t, J = 7.5, 3 H–C(23)); 1.18 (d, J = 7, 3 H–C(28)); 1.54 (br. *s*, 3 H–C(29)); 1.88 (br. *s*, 3 H–C(26)); 3.08 (dt,  $J_d = 2.5$ ,  $J_t = 9.5$ , H–C(25)); 3.27 (m, H–C(2)); 3.67 (m, H–C(17)); 3.97 (d, J = 6, H–C(6)); 4.02 (br. *s*, H–C(13)); 4.11 (*s*, OH); 4.31 (br. *t*, J = 7.5, H–C(5)); 4.65 (d, J = 15, H–C(27)); 4.73 (d, J = 15, H–C(27)); 5.29–5.46 (m, H–C(15), H–C(19)); 5.40 (br. *s*, H–C(3)); 5.66–5.85 (m, H–C(9), H–C(10), H–C(11)). MS: 558 (4,  $M^+$ , C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>46</sub>O<sub>8</sub>), 540 (10), 430 (6), 412 (4), 279 (100), 261 (18), 221 (8), 195 (20), 167 (60), 151 (66), 143 (56), 125 (26), 97 (42), 95 (82), 83 (50), 67 (30), 55 (76), 43 (48).

7. Enones **13A** and **20A**. 7.1. As described for **14A** (5.1), with oxalyl chloride (155 µl, 1.714 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (6 ml), DMSO (203 µl, 2.856 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 ml; within 5 min), and **10A** (961 mg, 1.428 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 ml; within 12 min). Workup with Et<sub>3</sub>N (597 µl, 4.284 mmol: 10 min stirring), pouring into 0.5N HCl (50 ml), extraction with 3 × 100 ml of Et<sub>2</sub>O, and washing with H<sub>2</sub>O (100 ml) and sat. aq. NaCl soln. (100 ml). FC (i.d. 5 cm; 20 cm SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 3:1) afforded (*13E*)-5-O-[*(*tert-*butyl*)*dimethylsilyl*]-*13*,14-*didehydro-14*,15-*dihydro-15-oxomilbemycin*  $A_4$  (**13A**; 633 mg, 66%). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.12 (*s*, Me<sub>2</sub>Si); 0.80 (*d*, *J* = 6.5, 3 H-C(30)); 0.90 (*s*, (*t*-Bu)Si); 0.93 (*t*, *J* = 7.5, 3 H-C(32)); 1.14 (*d*, *J* = 6.5, 3 H-C(28)); 1.75 (br. *s*, 3 H-C(26)); 1.82 (br. *s*, 3 H-C(26)); 3.22 (*m*, H-C(12)); 3.28 (*m*, H-C(2)); 3.79 (*m*, H-C(17)); 3.83 (*d*, *J* = 6, H-C(6)); 4.22 (*s*, OH); 4.41 (br. *s*, H-C(5)); 4.51 (*dd*, *J* = 2, 15, H-C(27)); 5.75 (*dd*, *J* = 10, H-C(19)); 5.24 (br. *s*, H-C(3)); 5.37 (*dd*, *J* = 10, 14.5, H-C(11)); 5.75 (*dt*, *J* = 11,  $J_t = 2$ , H-C(9)); 5.83 (*dd*, *J* = 11, 14.5, H-C(10)); 6.06 (br. *d*, *J* = 10, H-C(13)). FD-MS: 670 ( $M^{+1}$ , C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>58</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Si).

7.2. A soln. of **13A** (50 mg, 0.0745 mmol) in  $(HF)_x \cdot pyridine/pyridine/THF [23] (1 ml) was stirred at r.t. for 16 h, then poured into sat. aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> soln. (50 ml) and extracted with 3 × 50 ml of Et<sub>2</sub>O. The combined org. phases were washed with sat. aq. NaCl soln. (100 ml), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. FC (SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/AcOEt 2.1) afforded ($ *13E*)-*13*,*14-didehydro-14*,*15-dihydro-15-oxomilbemycin* $<math>A_4$  (**20A**; 31 mg, 74%). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.78 (*d*, *J* = 6.5, 3 H-C(20)); 0.92 (*t*, *J* = 7.5, 3 H-C(32)); 1.15 (*d*, *J* = 6.5, 3 H-C(28)); 1.81 (br. *s*, 3 H-C(26)); 1.84 (br. *s*, 3 H-C(29)); 2.70 (*dd*, *J* = 7, 13, H-C(16)); 3.00 (*dt*, *J*<sub>d</sub> = 2.5, *J*<sub>t</sub> = 10, H-C(25)); 3.07 (*dd*, *J* = 4.5, 13, H-C(16)); 3.19 (*m*, H-C(2)); 3.23 (*m*, H-C(12)); 3.80 (*m*, H-C(17)); 4.99 (*d*, *J* = 6, H-C(6)); 4.06 (*s*, OH); 4.27 (br. *t*, *J* = 6, H-C(5)); 4.61 (*dd*, *J* = 1.5, 15, H-C(21)); 5.75-5.91 (*m*, H-C(20)); 6.07 (br. *d*, *J* = 10, H-C(13)). FD-MS: 556 ( $M^{+r}$ , C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>44</sub>O<sub>8</sub>).

8. Allylic Alcohols 16A, 22A, 18A, and 23A. 8.1. As described for 15A (6.1), with 13A (716 mg, 1.067 mmol) in EtOH (10 ml) and Et<sub>2</sub>O (25 ml), NaBH<sub>4</sub> (42 mg, 1.12 mmol), sat. aq. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl soln. (10 ml), sat. aq. NaCl soln. (100 ml), and  $3 \times 100$  ml of Et<sub>2</sub>O. FC (i.d. 5 cm; 30 cm SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/AcOEt 4:1) afforded 17A (76 mg, 11%), 10A (28 mg, 4%), and 16A (443 mg, 62%).

(13 E,15 R)-5-O-[(tert-Butyl)dimethylsilyl]-13,14-didehydro-14,15-dihydro-15-hydroxymilbemycin  $A_4$  (16A). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.12 (s, Me<sub>2</sub>Si); 0.80 (d, J = 6, 3 H–C(30)); 0.90 (s, (t-Bu)Si); 1.02 (t, J = 7.5, 3 H–C(32)); 1.05 (d, J = 6, 3 H–C(28)); 1.53 (br. s, 3 H–C(29)); 1.76 (br. s, 3 H–C(26)); 3.03 (dt,  $J_d = 2.5$ ,  $J_t = 10$ , H–C(25)); 3.07 (m, H–C(12)); 3.33 (m, H–C(2)); 3.69 (br. t, J = 10, H–C(17)); 3.86 (d, J = 6, H–C(6)); 4.05 (s, OH); 4.21 (br. s, H–C(13)); 4.41 (br. d, J = 6, H–C(5)); 4.52 (dd, J = 2.5, 15, H–C(27)); 4.65 (dd, J = 2.5, 15, H–C(27)); 4.83 (m, sym. 7-line system), H–C(19)); 5.20–5.34 (m, H–C(3), H–C(11), H–C(13), H–C(19)); 5.65 (dt,  $J_d = 11$ ,  $J_t = 2$ , H–C(9)); 5.75 (dd, J = 11, 14.5, H–C(10)). FD-MS: 672 ( $M^+$ , C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>60</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Si).

5-O-[/ tert-*Butyl*)dimethylsilyl]-14,15-dihydro-15-oxomilbemycin  $A_4$  (17A). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.10 (s, Me<sub>2</sub>Si); 0.78 (d, J = 6, 3 H-C(30)); 0.84 (d, J = 7.5, 3 H-C(29)); 0.89 (s, (t-Bu)Si); 0.98 (t, J = 7.5, 3 H-C(32)); 1.00 (d, J = 6.5, 3 H-C(28)); 1.76 (br. s, 3 H-C(26)); 3.00 (dt,  $J_d = 2.5, J_t = 9.5, H$ -C(25)); 3.36 (m, H-C(2)); 3.79 (d, J = 6, H-C(6)); 4.08 (m, H-C(17)); 4.16 (s, OH); 4.41 (m, H-C(5)); 4.53 (br. d, J = 15, H H-C(27)); 4.65 (br. d, J = 15, H-C(27)); 5.30 (br. s, H-C(3)); 5.32–5.53 (m, H-C(11), H-C(15)); 5.65–5.79 (m, H-C(9), H-C(10)). FD-MS: 672 ( $M^+$ , C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>60</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Si).

Deprotection of **16A** (50 mg, 0.074 mmol) in (HF)<sub>x</sub> · pyridine/pyridine/THF (1 ml); 17 h and FC as described in 7.2 afforded (13E,15R)-13,14-didehydro-14,15-dihydro-15-hydroxymilbemycin  $A_4$  (**22A**; 38 mg, 91%). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.80 (d, J = 6, 3 H–C(30)); 1.03 (t, J = 7.5, 3 H–C(32)); 1.06 (d, J = 6, 3 H–C(28)); 1.53 (br. s, 3 H–C(29)); 1.86 (br. s, 3 H–C(26)); 3.03 (dt,  $J_d = 2.5$ ,  $J_t = 10$ , H–C(25)); 3.08 (m, H–C(12)); 3.24 (m, H–C(2)); 3.69 (br. t, J = 10, H–C(17)); 3.86 (s, OH); 4.02 (d, J = 6, H–C(6)); 4.20 (br. s, H–C(15)); 4.28 (br. t, J = 12, H–C(5)); 4.65 (dd, J = 2, 15, H–C(27)); 4.71 (dd, J = 2, 15, H–C(27)); 4.87 (m (sym. 7-line system), H–C(19)); 5.26 (d, J = 10, H–C(13)); 5.30 (dd, J = 3.5, 12, H–C(11)); 5.45 (br. s, H–C(3)); 5.70 (dt,  $J_d = 11$ ,  $J_t = 2$ , H–C(9)); 5.77 (dd, J = 11, 12, H–C(10)). FD-MS: 558 ( $M^{++}$ , C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>46</sub>O<sub>8</sub>).

8.2. As described for **11A** (3.1), with **16A** (50 mg, 0.074 mmol), DMF (1 ml), PVPDC (89 mg, 0.223 mmol; 4 h stirring), Et<sub>2</sub>O (50 ml), and 3 × 20 ml of Et<sub>2</sub>O (washing with H<sub>2</sub>O (50 ml) and sat. aq. NaCl soln. (50 ml)). CC (SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/AcOEt 2:1) afforded (14Z)-5-O-[(tert-butyl)dimethylsilyl)]-13β-hydroxymilbemycin  $A_4$  (**18A**; 34 mg, 68 %). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.15 (s, Me<sub>2</sub>Si); 0.83 (d, J = 6.5, 3 H–C(30)); 0.93 (s, (t-Bu)Si); 0.95 (t, J = 7, 3 H–C(32)); 1.12 (d, J = 6.5, 3 H–C(28)); 1.76 (br. s, 3 H–C(29)); 1.80 (br. s, 3 H–C(26)); 3.02 (dt,  $J_d = 2.5$ ,  $J_t = 10$ , H–C(25)); 3.37 (m, H–C(2)); 3.77 (m, H–C(17)); 3.81 (d, J = 6, H–C(6)); 4.20 (dd, J = 11, 3, H–C(13)); 4.45 (m, H–C(5)); 4.57 (dd, J = 15, 2, H–C(27)); 4.68 (dd, J = 15, 2, H–C(27)); 4.71 (s, OH); 5.19–5.47 (m, H–C(11), H–C(15), H–C(19)); 5.30 (br. s, H–C(3)); 5.63–5.84 (m, H–C(9), H–C(10)). FD-MS: 672 ( $M^{++}$ , C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>60</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Si).

Deprotection of **18A** (32 mg, 0.048 mmol) in (HF)<sub>x</sub> · pyridine/pyridine/THF [23] (1 ml; 17 h) as described in 7.2 and FC (SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/AcOEt 1 :1) afforded (14Z)-13 $\beta$ -hydroxymilbemycin A<sub>4</sub> (**23A**; 26 mg, 96 %). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.80 (d, J = 6.5, 3 H–C(30)); 0.94 (t, J = 7, 3 H–C(32)); 1.11 (d, J = 6.5, 3 H–C(28)); 1.72 (br. s, 3 H–C(29)); 1.87 (br. s, 3 H–C(26)); 3.00 (dt, J<sub>d</sub> = 2.5, J<sub>t</sub> = 9.5, H–C(25)); 3.27 (m, H–C(2)); 3.78 (m, H–C(17)); 3.94 (d, J = 6, H–C(6)); 4.18 (dd, J = 10, J = 4, H–C(13)); 4.29 (br. t, J = 6, H–C(5)); 4.58 (s, OH); 4.65 (br. d, J = 15, H–C(27)); 5.18–5.49 (m, H–C(11), H–C(15), H–C(19)); 5.37 (br. s, H–C(3)); 5.66–5.83 (m, H–C(9), H–C(10)). FD-MS: 558 (M<sup>++</sup>, C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>46</sub>O<sub>8</sub>).

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Mishima, J. Ide, S. Muramatsu, M. Ono, J. Antibiot. 1983, 36, 980.
- [2] J. Takiguchi, H. Mishima, M. Okuda, M. Terao, A. Aoki, R. Fukuda, J. Antibiot. 1980, 33, 1120.
- [3] M.H. Fisher, H. Mrozik, in 'Macrolide Antibiotics', Ed. S. Omura, Academic Press, New York, 1984, pp. 553-606.
- [4] J.C. Chabala, H. Mrozik, R.L. Tolman, P. Eskola, A. Lusi. L. H. Peterson, M. F. Woods, M.H. Fisher, J. Med. Chem. 1980, 23, 1134.
- [5] K.T. Wann, Phytother. Res. 1987, 1, 143.
- [6] a) H.G. Davies, R.H. Green, Nat. Prod. Rep. 1986, 3, 87; b) H. Mrozik, B.O. Linn, P. Eskola, A. Lusi, A. Matzuk, F.A. Preiser, D.A. Ostlind, J.M. Schaeffer, M.H. Fisher, J. Med. Chem. 1989, 32, 375.
- [7] H. Mrozik, J. C. Chabala, P. Eskola, A. Matzuk, F. Watemunski, M. Woods, M. H. Fisher, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1983, 5333.
- [8] a) G.M. Ramos, O. Ghisalba, H-P. Schär, B. Frei, P. Maienfisch, A.C. O'Sullivan, Agric. Biol. Chem. 1989, 53, 1531; b) K. Sato, T. Yanai, T. Kimoto, S. Mio, EP 184'308 A1 (CA: 106, 67005).
- [9] Sankyo Ltd. Jpn. Kokai Tokkyo Koho JP 57,139,080, 1982 (CA: 98,89076y).
- [10] J.P. Springer, B.H. Arison, J.M. Hirshfield, K. Hoogsteen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4221.
- [11] J.G. Smith, Synthesis 1984, 629.
- [12] H. B. Mereyala, B. Frei, Helv. Chim. Acta 1986, 69, 415.
- [13] H. Yamamoto, H. Nozaki, Angew. Chem. 1978, 90, 180.
- [14] T. Inoue, T. Uchimani, T. Mukaiyama, Chem. Lett. 1977, 1215.
- [15] W.G. Dauben, D.M. Michno, J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 682.
- [16] B. Papillaud, F. Tiffon, M. Turan, B. Arregny-San Kiguit, B. Delmond, Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 1845.
- [17] N. Kornblum, W.J. Jones, G.J. Anderson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1959, 81, 4113.
- [18] B. Ganem, R.K. Boeckman, Jr., Tetrahedron 1974, 917.
- [19] B. Frei, A.C. O'Sullivan, P. Maienfisch, Eur. Pat. Appl. EP 180539 (CA: 106,4766).
- [20] K. Omura, D. Swern, Tetrahedron 1978, 34, 1651.

- [21] a) K. Sato, T. Yanai, T. Kinoto, T. Toyama, K. Tanaka, A. Nishida, B. Frei, A.C. O'Sullivan, Eur. Pat. Appl. EP 246739 A2 (*CA*: 108, 186441); b) A.C. O'Sullivan, B. Frei, Eur. Pat. Appl. EP 252879 A1 (*CA*: 108, 167207); c) P. Maienfisch, A.C. O'Sullivan, UK Pat. Appl. GB 2187453 (*CA*: 108, 131426); d) B. Frei, H. B. Mereyala, A.C. O'Sullivan, K. Sato, T. Yanai, UK Pat. Appl. GB 2168345 A1 (*CA*: 106, 156159); e) K. Sato, T. Yanai, N. Kitano, N. Noritoshi, A. Nishida, B. Frei, A.C. O'Sullivan, Eur. Pat. Appl. EP 203832 (*CA*: 106, 119558); f) B. Frei, A.C. O'Sullivan, Eur. Pat. Appl. EP 184173 A2 (*CA*: 106, 49886); g) B. Frei, A.C. O'Sullivan, J-C. Gehret, Eur. Pat. Appl. EP 189159 A2 (*CA*: 105, 226202); h) P. Maienfisch, M. Riediker, Ger. Offen. DE 3631'387 A1 (*CA*: 107, 7010); i) P. Maienfisch, Eur. Pat. Appl. EP 239'528 A1 (*CA*: 108, 131429); j) P. Maienfisch, Eur. Pat. Appl. EP 245'209 A1 (*CA*: 108, 150170).
- [22] R.F. Newton, D.P. Reynolds, M.A.W. Finch, D.R. Kelley, S.M. Roberts, Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 3981.
- [23] R.E. Ireland, M.D. Varney, J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 635.
- [24] H.O. Kalinowski, S. Berger, S. Braun, '<sup>13</sup>C-NMR-Spektroskopie', Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart-New York, 1984, p. 92.
- [25] 'MACROMODEL, User's Guide, Version 2.0,' Copyright Columbia University, 1988.
- [26] M. Lipton, W. C. Still, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 9, 343.
- [27] D.J. Goldsmith, J.P. Bowen, E. Quamhiyeh, W.C. Still, J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 951, Footnote 10.
- [28] A. P. Alder, H. R. Wolf, O. Jeger, Helv. Chim. Acta 1980, 63, 1833.
- [29] W.C. Still, M. Kahn, A. Mitra, J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923.
- [30] a) H. Wolff, Org. React. 1946, III, 327; b) V. Braun, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1931, 490, 100.